



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/461,040 | 12/15/1999 | Michelle Q. Wang Baldonado | 104323 | 3267 |
| 7590 07/27/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Oliff & Berridge PLC | | | NGUYEN, MAIKHANH | |
| PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2176 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Advisory Action | 09/461,040 | BALDONADO ET AL. |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Maikhanh Nguyen | 2176 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: See the attachment. | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | r(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> . | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl | roved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | • | |
| 10 Cl Othor: | | (Ful) |
| BEST AVAIL | ABLE COPY SUPERVIS | IOSEPH FEILD ORY PATENT EXAMINER |

Application/Control Number: 09/461,040

Art Unit: 2176

٤. ٧

Applicant argues that nowhere in Eberman is there is a suggestion or teaching that generating one annotation using annotation device and an input device that is distinct from the annotation device. (Remarks, page 9, first paragraph)

In response, an input device that is distinct from the annotated device was not previously claimed.

The added feature that is distinct from the annotated device to claim 14 was not claimed in combination with this claim previously, the amendment is presenting the claim of new/different scope. Therefore, further consideration and/or search is required.

Note that the feature and an input device <u>that is distinct from the annotated device</u> are not claimed in combination with claims 1 and 26.